

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.812.262>

Effect of Microbes and Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea L. var. capitata*)

Kamal Kant*, Devi Singh and V. M. Prasad

Department of Horticulture, ASA, SHIATS, Allahabad, U.P., India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was conducted to know the effect of different levels of fertilizers viz., F₁-80:40:40 kg NPK/ha, F₂-120:60:60 kg NPK/ha, F₃-160:80:80 kg NPK/ha, F₄-200:100:100 kg NPK/ha and F₅-240:120:120 kg/ha and microbes viz., M₀-0, M₁- *Azotobacter*, M₂-*Azospirillum*, M₃-VAM and M₄-PSB. The experiment comprised of 25 different treatment combinations and replicated thrice. Results from the study indicated the combined application of NPK @ 200:100:100 kg/ha along with soil application with *Azospirillum* gave significantly higher plant height (30.77cm), plant spread (54.23cm), head diameter (24.41cm), head depth (21.81cm), head weight (1.88kg) and head yield (868.51q/ha). However, days taken to head formation and head maturity, number of outer leaves/plant and number of inner leaves/head did not show significant interaction effects. Therefore, it may be concluded that combined application of NPK @ 200:100:100 kg/ha along with soil application treatment with *Azospirillum* was the most effective combination for higher growth and yield in cabbage.

Keywords

Microbes, NPK,
Cabbage,
Azotobacter,
Azospirillum

Article Info

Accepted:
17 November 2019
Available Online:
10 December 2019

Introduction

Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea L. var. capitata*), is one of the most important vegetable of the family, Brassicaceae. It is widely grown all over India and abroad for its high nutritive values, high productivity and wider adaptability. It can withstand rough handlings as well as long distance transport and thus fetch better return. However, the national

productivity of cabbage is far below the global average productivity. Low productivity of cabbage may be attributed to poor management practices rather than the uncontrollable climatic factors. Continuous application of huge amount of fertilizers hampers the soil health and generates pollution. Mineral nutrition does play an important role in influencing the quality of crops but it is a fact that the soil health

deteriorates (Savci, 2012). The integrated nutrient management paves the way to overcome these problems, which involves conjunctive use of fertilizers and organic manures to sustain crop production as well as maintenance of soil health. Systematic approach to nutrient management by tapping all possible sources of organic and inorganic nutrients in a judicious manner to maintain soil fertility and crop productivity is the essence of integrated nutrient management (INM). In addition, utilization of microbes, which have the ability to enrich the soil with beneficial microorganisms as well as to mobilize the nutritionally important elements from non-usable to usable forms through biological processes resulting in enhanced production of fruits and vegetables offer an alternative. Among the nitrogen fixing bacteria, *Azotobacter*, not only provides nitrogen, but also synthesizes growth promoting hormones such as IAA and GA. *Azospirillum* also helps in plant growth and increases the yield of crops by improving root development, mineral uptake etc. The positive role of these microbes has been recorded in many vegetables and spice crops by different scientists. Earlier studies have shown that plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) could stimulate the growth and yield of cabbage (Turan *et al.*, 2014). To maintain long term soil health and productivity there is a need for integrated nutrient management through manures and microbes apart from costly fertilizers for better yield of the crop (Mondal *et al.*, 2003). Use of microbes is also needed as an alternative source to bring forth the eco-friendly methods of farming. The concept of sustainable agriculture envisages primary emphasis on manipulation and management of biological systems not only to maximize yield but also to stabilize the agro-systems and to minimize industrial input demands. In a country like India a large majority of the farmers are poor and have small holdings, the use of microbes in

combination with fertilizers offers a great opportunity to increase the crop production at less cost. The extent of benefit from these microorganisms depends on their number and their efficiency which however, is governed by soil and environmental factors. When the number and activity of specific microorganism is sub-optimal, artificially multiplied microbes are used to hasten the biological activity to improve availability of plant nutrient (Kumari *et al.*, 2015). Thus, it makes it imperative to make a concerted effort to bridge the gap between potential yield and actual yield harvested by the farmers to make cabbage cultivation more remunerative through the better management of input like nutrients and microbes for better exploitation of yield potentialities. Therefore, this study was carried out to investigate the effect of different levels of fertilizers and microbes on growth and yield of cabbage.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was conducted in the winter season at Department of Horticulture, Allahabad School of Agriculture, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India. The design of experiment was RBD (Factorial), replicated thrice and a common hybrid variety Indo-American Seeds Pvt. Ltd. Hybrid Cabbage Indam-216 was used for the study. Seeds were sown and covered with thin layer of soil mixed with FYM. There after the bed was covered with paddy straws. Twenty five days old seedlings were used for transplanting in the main field.

Microbes were used as seedlings inoculation and soil application and twenty five days seedlings were transplanted in the main field at the spacing of 45 x 45 cm. the soil and the weather condition prevailing during the period of investigation was close to normal for the place and could be termed congenial for

growth and development of cabbage. The treatment comprised of four microbes (M_0 -0, M_1 -*Azotobacter*, M_2 -*Azospirillum*, M_3 -VAM and M_4 -PSB) and five different levels fertilizers (F_1 -80:40:40 NPK kg/ha, F_2 -120:60:60 NPK kg/ha, F_3 - 160:80:80 NPK kg/ha, F_4 - 200:100:100 NPK kg/ha and F_5 -240:120:120 NPK kg/ha) in different combinations. Treatment wise different microbes in @ 10 g/litre of water were mixed and required quantity of solution was prepared. The roots of uprooted seedlings were dipped in this solution for 20 minutes before transplantation. Half dose of nitrogen as urea with full dose of phosphorous (P_2O_5) as single super phosphate and potash (K_2O) as murate of potash were applied before planting of seedlings as basal dressing as per the treatments were mixed thoroughly and the mixture was placed and incorporated in the top 6-8 layer of soil on the point marked for transplanting of each seedlings. After placement and incorporation of the fertilizers mixtures, seedlings were transplanted.

The remaining half amount of nitrogen was top dressed in two equal split doses at 25 days and 50 days after transplanting. Five plants in each treatment combination and in each replication were randomly selected and tagged properly for recording various observations. The observation recorded for the aforesaid five plants were worked out to give mean in respect of all the characters, viz. plant height (cm), plant spread (cm), number of outer leaves/plant, number of inner leaves/plant, number of days taken to head formation after transplanting, number of days taken to head maturity after transplanting, diameter of head (cm), weight of head (kg), yield/plot (kg), yield (q/ha). The statistical analysis of the data recorded in all observations was carried out by the method of "Analysis of the variance prescribed by Fisher and Yates (1963). Comparison of treatment was made with the help of critical differences (C.D)."

Results and Discussion

The maximum plant height (28.14cm), number of outer leaves (22.44) and plant spread (49.80cm) were obtained with the treatment, M_2 -*Azospirillum* and were significantly superior to other microbes. The possible reason for this could be some growth promoting substances secreted by the microbes, leading to better root development, better transportation of water and more uptake and deposition of nutrients. These findings are in close agreement with those reported by Bhagavantagoudra and Rokhade (2001), Singh *et al.*, (2015) and Sharma (2002) in cabbage and Bhardwaj *et al.*, (2007) in broccoli. The maximum growth of plant in terms of height (28.61cm), number of outer leaves/plant (23.67) and plant spread (51.16cm) was at fertility level F_4 (200:100:100 NPK kg/ha). The adequate supply of the three major nutrients NPK is expected to regulate plant physiological functions and morphological response favorably. These results are in close proximity with the findings of Patilet *et al.*, (2003) in knolkhol and Bhardwaj *et al.*, (2007) in broccoli. Plant height and plant spread were influenced significantly due to interaction effect of fertilizers and microbes, being maximum (30.77cm) at with M_2F_4 . This might be due to fact that higher fertility levels increase photosynthetic capacity and auxin levels in the plant. The increase in plant growth induced by NPK may results in more assimilation of carbohydrates. Higher vegetative growth of plant in case of microbe's application might be due to better growth and elongation of leaves. These results are closely in consonance with the findings reported earlier by Devi *et al.*, (2003) in cabbage and Chaudhary *et al.*, (2004) in cauliflower.

The various levels of microbes showed non-significant effect on head formation and head maturity after transplanting. The plants grown

under the higher level of fertilizers F₅ (240:120:120 NPK kg/ha) were earliest with respect to the days taken for initiation of head formation (52.20) and for head maturity (82.73) after transplanting.

The lowest fertility level F₁ (80:40:40 NPK kg/ha) significantly delayed to head formation and head maturity. The interaction effect of microbes and levels of fertility was found to

be non-significant in these respects. The probable reason for earlier heading and maturity of head is due to higher NPK and increased nutrient transport from root to the aerial parts and increased rate of photosynthesis and transport of photosynthesis. Similar results have also been reported by Westerveld *et al.*, (2003) and Chaubey *et al.*, (2006) in cabbage.

Table.1 Effect of microbes and fertilizers on plant height (cm), plant spread (cm) and number of outer leaves/plant of cabbage (Pooled results of two years)

Microbes	Levels of fertilizers					Mean
	F ₁ - 80:40:40	F ₂ - 120:60:60	F ₃ - 160:80:80	F ₄ - 200:100:100	F ₅ - 240:120:120	
Plant height (cm)						
M₀-0	16.39	23.56	25.22	25.24	26.80	23.44
M₁-Azotob	22.77	25.52	27.25	29.24	28.18	26.59
M₂-Azosp	25.34	27.00	29.05	30.77	28.53	28.14
M₃-VAM	22.39	25.67	26.61	28.59	27.27	26.11
M₄-PSB	23.52	25.62	27.63	29.21	28.05	26.81
Mean	22.08	25.47	27.16	28.61	27.77	
Plant spread (cm)						
M₀-0	27.14	42.37	44.27	45.59	48.24	41.52
M₁-Azotob	41.39	45.27	48.52	52.32	49.76	47.45
M₂-Azosp	43.16	49.71	51.65	54.23	50.26	49.80
M₃-VAM	40.81	44.73	47.36	51.35	48.49	46.55
M₄-PSB	40.94	45.19	49.23	52.29	49.28	47.38
Mean	38.69	45.45	48.21	51.16	49.21	
Number of outer leaves/plant						
M₀-0	19.33	20.67	21.67	21.33	21.33	20.87
M₁-Azotob	20.67	21.73	20.67	23.00	24.67	22.15
M₂-Azosp	20.33	20.33	22.20	25.67	23.67	22.44
M₃-VAM	20.00	20.67	22.13	24.33	22.33	21.89
M₄-PSB	20.07	21.33	23.67	24.00	22.67	22.35
Mean	20.08	20.95	22.07	23.67	22.93	
C.D. at 5%		Microbes (M)		Fertilizers (F)		M x F
Plant height		0.25		0.25		2.16
Plant spread		0.53		0.53		4.63
Number of outer leaves/plant			0.26		NS	

Table.2 Effect of microbes and fertilizers on number of days to head formation after transplanting and number of days to head maturity after transplanting of cabbage (Pooled results of two years)

Microbes	Levels of fertilizers					Mean
	F ₁ - 80:40:40	F ₂ - 120:60:60	F ₃ - 160:80:80	F ₄ - 200:100:100	F ₅ - 240:120:120	
Number of days to head formation after transplanting						
M₀-0	57.00	55.67	53.67	53.67	52.00	54.40
M₁-Azotob	55.00	53.33	52.33	52.33	52.33	53.07
M₂-Azosp	54.00	51.67	52.33	52.67	50.33	52.20
M₃-VAM	56.00	52.67	53.00	53.33	51.00	53.20
M₄-PSB	55.33	53.67	52.00	53.33	52.00	53.27
Mean	55.47	53.40	52.67	53.07	51.53	
Number of days to head maturity after transplanting						
M₀-0	88.00	85.00	84.33	82.67	82.00	84.40
M₁-Azotob	86.00	84.00	82.33	81.00	80.67	82.80
M₂-Azosp	86.00	82.33	82.00	81.00	82.33	82.73
M₃-VAM	88.00	83.67	83.33	82.00	83.67	84.13
M₄-PSB	86.67	83.33	83.00	82.00	82.33	83.47
Mean	86.93	83.67	83.00	81.73	82.20	
C.D. at 5%		Microbes (M)		Fertilizers (F)		M x F
Number of days to head formation after transplanting		NS		0.63		NS
Number of days to head maturity after transplanting		NS		0.69		NS

Table.3 Effect of microbes and fertilizers on diameter of head (cm) and weight of head (kg) of cabbage (Pooled results of two years)

Microbes	Levels of fertilizers					Mean
	F ₁ -80:40:40	F ₂ -120:60:60	F ₃ -160:80:80	F ₄ -200:100:100	F ₅ -240:120:120	
Diameter of head (cm)						
M ₀ -0	12.51	19.05	19.49	20.59	21.22	18.57
M ₁ -Azotob	18.46	20.56	21.51	23.79	22.54	21.37
M ₂ -Azosp	19.48	22.15	23.40	24.41	22.70	22.43
M ₃ -VAM	18.43	20.23	21.40	23.24	21.97	21.05
M ₄ -PSB	18.48	20.30	21.77	23.54	22.29	21.27
Mean	17.47	20.46	21.52	23.11	22.15	
Weight of head (Kg)						
M ₀ -0	0.91	1.51	1.56	1.60	1.64	1.45
M ₁ -Azotob	1.48	1.61	1.70	1.86	1.75	1.68
M ₂ -Azosp	1.54	1.74	1.81	1.88	1.76	1.75
M ₃ -VAM	1.43	1.58	1.67	1.80	1.71	1.64
M ₄ -PSB	1.45	1.59	1.77	1.83	1.72	1.66
Mean	1.36	1.61	1.69	1.79	1.72	
C.D. at 5%		Microbes (M)		Fertilizers (F)		M x F
Diameter of head (cm)		0.23		0.23		NS
Weight of head (Kg)		0.02		0.02		0.14

Table.4 Effect of microbes and fertilizers on number of inner leaves/plant and head yield (q/ha) of cabbage (Pooled results of two years)

Microbes	Levels of fertilizers					Mean
	F ₁ -80:40:40	F ₂ -120:60:60	F ₃ -160:80:80	F ₄ -200:100:100	F ₅ -240:120:120	
Number of inner leaves/plant						
M ₀ -0	39.67	40.67	42.67	42.67	42.33	41.60
M ₁ -Azotob	41.27	43.00	43.33	45.67	44.67	43.59
M ₂ -Azosp	43.07	44.73	44.13	46.00	44.67	44.52
M ₃ -VAM	43.13	41.67	42.67	43.67	42.00	42.63
M ₄ -PSB	42.67	44.33	44.00	44.40	44.00	43.88
Mean	41.96	42.88	43.36	44.48	43.53	
Head yield (q/ha)						
M ₀ -0	435.57	682.88	711.56	753.49	802.54	691.89
M ₁ -Azotob	662.71	732.05	780.94	851.26	809.45	767.29
M ₂ -Azosp	698.11	795.55	765.01	868.51	810.19	787.47
M ₃ -VAM	653.33	720.45	777.44	842.17	795.22	757.72
M ₄ -PSB	660.25	726.33	730.98	826.90	784.77	731.17
Mean	621.99	731.45	753.19	828.47	800.44	
C.D. at 5%		Microbes (M)		Fertilizers (F)		M x F
Diameter of head (cm)		0.41		0.41		NS
Weight of head (Kg)		7.76		7.76		67.14

There was a significant variation among microbes in respect of diameter, number of inner leaves/plant, weight of head and head yield. The plants developed under application of microbes M₂- *Azospirillum* produced heads with maximum diameter (22.43cm), number of inner leaves/plant (44.52) and maximum weight of head (1.75kg) and yield of head (787.47q/ha). The maximum head weight was recorded with the use of microbes *Azospirillum* which was significantly superior to *Azotobacter*. It is a well known fact that *Azospirillum* has definite role in cell division, cell enlargement, cell elongation and physiological activities. These physiological activities give beneficial response on uptake of water and nutrients development of cambial growth, respiration, co-enzyme activity and utilization of ATP, formation of RNA and cell permeability, due to these activities application of *Azospirillum* had induced effect on weight of head. The result in respect of head weight of cabbage is in complete agreement with the findings of Manivannan and Singh (2004) in cabbage. The improvement in yield might be due to higher amount of nitrogen fixed in soil by *Azospirillum* and made available to plants, and growth promoting substances like IAA, GA, cytokinins and vitamins secreted by *Azospirillum* which have beneficial effects on crop growth. Better crop due to all these factors, which might have helped in increasing photosynthetic rate and more physiological and biochemical activities which in turn, perhaps might have increased the movement of photosynthates from source to sink. Thus, finally resulted in increasing the yield and yield components. Significant increase in yield by adopting integrated nutrient management approach has also been reported by Bhardwaj *et al.*, (2007) in broccoli and Khan and Pariari (2012) in chilli and Damse *et al.*, (2014) in garlic. The maximum diameter (23.11cm), number of inner leaves/plant (44.48), weight of head (1.79kg) and yield (828.47q/ha) were

obtained at fertility level of F₄ (200:100:100 NPK kg/ha).

This might be due to increased vegetative growth as induced by higher dosages of NPK which might account for carbohydrates accumulation as a result of increased photosynthesis. These results are in agreement with the findings of Agrawal and Agrawal (2003) in cabbage, Singh *et al.*, (2015) in cabbage and Sharma *et al.*, (2004) in cauliflower. Higher fertility levels probably resulted in the production of larger number of leaves and increased leaf area, which ultimately contributed towards the manufacture of more carbohydrates, consequently more weight of head. The findings pertaining to head weight are in close agreement with those reported by Bhardwaj *et al.*, (2007) in broccoli (Table 1–4).

All yield contributing characters such as diameter, depth and weight of head were favorably influenced by combined action of NPK and microbes *Azospirillum* which ultimately increased the head yield. These results are closely in consonance with the findings reported earlier by Bahadur *et al.*, (2006) in cabbage.

On the basis of results and discussion made so far, it may be concluded that application of microbes basically *Azospirillum* as seedling treatment as well as application of NPK @ 200:100:100 kg/ha was the most effective treatment combination for higher growth and yield in cabbage cultivation. Hence, the use and management of natural resources in sustainable agriculture, the microbial fertilizers hold vast potential for future.

References

- Agrawal, S. and Agrawal, N. 2003. Influence of nitrogen on growth and head character of cabbage in Chhattisgarh

- region. *Progressive Horticulture*. 35 (2): 237-238.
- Bahadur, A., Singh, J., Singh, K.P., Upadhaya, A.K. and Mathura, R. 2006. Effect of organic amendments and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality attributes of Chinese cabbage (*Brassica pekinensis*). *Indian J. Agriculture Science*. 76 (10): 596-598.
- Bhagavantagoudra, K.H. and Rokhade, R.K. 2001. Effect of *Azospirillum* and nitrogen on growth and yield of cabbage. *Karnataka J. Agriculture Science*. 14 (3): 858-961.
- Bhardwaj, A.K., Kumar, P. and Singh, R.K. 2007. Response of nitrogen and pre-planting treatment of seedlings with the *Azotobacter* on growth and productivity of broccoli (*Brassica oleraceavar. italica*). *The Asian J. Horticulture*. 2(1): 15-17.
- Chaubey, T., Srivastava, B.K., Singh, Major, Kumar, P. and Raj, M. 2006. Influence of fertility levels and seasons on maturity and morphological traits of cabbage. *Vegetable Science*. 33(1): 29-33.
- Chaudhary, M.R., Saikia, A. and Talukdar, N.C. 2004. Response of cauliflower to integrated nutrient management practices. *Bioved*. 15(1/2): 83-87.
- Damse, D.N., Bhalekar, M.N. and Pawar, P.K. 2014. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of garlic. *The Bioscan*. 9(4): 1557-1560.
- Devi, H.J., Maity, T.K. and Paria, N.C. 2003. Effect of different sources of nitrogen on yield and economics of cabbage. *Environment and Ecology*. 21(4): 878-880.
- Fisher, A.R. and Yates, F. 1963. Statistical tables for Biological, Agricultural and Medical Research. *Long Man Group Limited, London, Sixth Edition*.
- Khan, S. and Pariari, A. 2012. Effect of N-fixing biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annuum L.*). *The Bioscan*, 7(3): 481-482.
- Kumari, C., Mankar, A., Karuna, K. Solankey, S.S. and Singh, V.K. 2015. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and microbial inoculants on yield and quality of cabbage (*Brassica oleraceavar. capitata*) cv. Pride of India. *Indian J. Agricultural Sciences*. 85(4): 515-8.
- Manivannam, M. I. and Singh, J.P. 2004. Effect of biofertilizers on the growth and yield of sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleraceavar. italic* Plen) under Allahabad agro-climatic conditions. *Bioved*. 15(1/2): 33-36.
- Patil, B.N., Ingle, V.G. and Patil, S.S. 2003. Effect of spacing and nitrogen levels on growth and yield of Knolkhol (*Brassica oleracea var. caulorapa*) cv. White Vienna. *Annals of Plant Physiology*. 17(2): 110-113.
- Savci, S. 2012. An agricultural pollution: chemical fertilizer, *International J. Environmental Science and Development*. 3(1): 77-80.
- Sharma, A. and Chandra, A. 2004. Effect of plant density and nitrogen levels on physico-chemical parameters of cauliflower. *Haryana J. Horticulture*. 33(1&2): 148-149.
- Sharma, S. K. 2002. Effect of *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter* and nitrogen on growth and yield of cabbage (*Brassica oleraceavar. capitata*). *Indian J. Agriculture Science*. 72(9): 555-557.
- Singh, V.K., Shree, S., Kumar, R., Singh, P. and Singh, R.G. 2015. Effect of microbial inoculants and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of hybrid cabbage (*Brassica oleracea var. capitata*). *The Bioscan*. 10(1): 1227-1231.
- Turan, M., Ekinci, M., Yildirim, E., Gune, A., Karagoz, K., Kotan, R. and Dursun, A.

2014. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria improved growth, nutrient and hormone content of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*) seedlings. *Turkish Agriculture and Forestry* 38: 1-7.

Westerveld, S. M., McDonald, M. R., McKeown, A.W. and Dupree, C.D.S. 2003. Optimum nitrogen fertilization of summer cabbage in Ontario. *Acta Horticulture*. 627: 211-215.

How to cite this article:

Kamal Kant, Devi Singh and Prasad, V. M. 2019. Effect of Microbes and Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata*). *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 8(12): 2204-2212. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.812.262>